

Heiner Kremer<sup>1</sup>, Jia-Jie Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Krikamol Muandet<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard Schölkopf<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Tübingen, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Berlin, Germany;

### Motivation

Conditional moment restrictions (CMR) identify a parameter  $\theta_0$  via:

$$E[\psi(X;\theta_0) \mid Z] = 0 \quad P_Z\text{-a.s.},$$

with  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}^n$  being an integrable function.

Examples:

- Instrumental variable regression [1]
- Off-policy evaluation in RL [2]
- Double/Debiased ML [4]

Equivalent unconditional moment restrictions:

$$E[\psi(X;\theta_0)^\top h(Z)] = 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Requires methods which can handle continua of moment restrictions

### Method of Moments

Moment restrictions identify a parameter  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  uniquely via:

$$E[\psi(X;\theta_0)] = 0,$$

where  $\psi : \mathcal{X} \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Empirical counterpart:

$$E_{\hat{P}_n}[\psi(X;\theta)] = 0, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p,$$
(3)

where  $\hat{P}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \delta_{x_i}$  is the empirical distribution.

In the over-identified case  $(m \gg p)$  it is generally impossible to fulfill all moment restrictions exactly  $\rightarrow$  Constraints (3) need to be relaxed

### Generalized Method of Moments (GMM)

The GMM relaxes the constraint (3) into a minimization of a quadratic form,

$$P^{\text{OWGMM}} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} E_{\hat{P}_n}[\psi(X;\theta)]^\top \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{\tilde{\theta}}\right)^{-1} E_{\hat{P}_n}[\psi(X;\theta)].$$
(4)

- 2-step procedure:
  - 1. Compute initial parameter estimate  $\tilde{\theta}$  to compute  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\tilde{\theta}} = E_{\hat{P}_n}[\psi(X;\tilde{\theta})\psi(X;\tilde{\theta})^{\top}]$
  - 2. Optimize (4) using  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\tilde{\theta}}$
- Multiple generalizations to continuum moment restrictions / CMR [1, 3, 6]

### Generalized Empirical Likelihood (GEL)

The GEL relaxes the restrictions (3) by requiring  $E_P[\psi(X;\theta)] = 0$  to be fulfilled exactly but allowing the distribution P to deviate from the empirical distribution  $\hat{P}_n$ .

The GEL estimator for  $\theta$  minimizes the profile divergence,

$$R(\theta) = \min_{\substack{P \ll \hat{P}_n}} D_f(P||\hat{P}_n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad E_P[\psi(X;\theta)] = 0, \quad E_P[1] = 1.$$
  
$$\theta^{\text{GEL}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta)$$

where  $D_f(P||Q) = \int f(\frac{dP}{dQ}) dQ$  is the *f*-divergence between distributions *P* and *Q*.

- Asymptotically equivalent to GMM (contains GMM as special case)
- Improved small sample properties especially in the case  $m \gg p$  [7]



(1)

(2)

# Functional Generalized Empirical Likelihood Estimation for Conditional **Moment Restrictions**

### Functional GEL

$$R(\theta) := \min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} D_f(P \parallel \hat{P}_n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad E_P[\psi(X; \theta) \mid Z] = 0, \quad P_Z\text{-a.s.},$$

with  $\mathcal{P} := \{ P \ll \hat{P}_n : E_P[1] = 1 \}.$ 

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a sufficiently large Hilbert space of functions such that

$$E[\psi(X;\theta_0) \mid Z] = 0 \quad P_Z \text{-a.s.} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad E[\psi(X;\theta_0)^\top h(Z)] = 0 \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(5)

Define the moment functional, a statistical functional  $H(X, Z; \theta) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , as

$$H(X,Z;\theta): \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$h\mapsto \ H(X,Z;\theta)(h)=\psi(X;\theta)^\top h(Z).$$

Then, the computation of the profile likelihood can be written as a functionally constrained optimization problem

$$R(\theta) = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} D_f(P||\hat{P}_n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||E_P[H(X, Z; \theta_0)]||_{\mathcal{H}^*} = 0.$$

Relax the problem to restore strong duality:

$$R_{\lambda}(\theta) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} D_f(P || \hat{P}_n) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad ||E_P[H(X, Z; \theta)]||_{\mathcal{H}^*} \le \lambda.$$

Motivate FGEL estimator from the exact dual formulation:

$$R_{\lambda}(\theta) = \sup_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{H} \\ \mu \in \mathbb{R}}} \mu - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{*}(\mu + H(x_{i}, z_{i}; \theta)(h)) - \lambda \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where  $f^*(v) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, p \rangle - f(p)$ .

#### FGEL estimation

Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval containing zero and  $\phi : V \to \mathbb{R}$  be a twice differentiable concave function with first and second derivatives  $\phi_1(0) \neq 0$  and  $\phi_2(0) < 0$ . Then we define the empirical FGEL objective  $G: \Theta \times \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta} \to \mathbb{R}$  as

$$G_{\lambda_n}(\theta, h) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi\left(H(x_i, z_i; \theta)(h)\right) - \frac{\lambda_n}{2} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$$

where  $H(x_i, z_i; \theta)(h) = \psi(x_i; \theta)^\top h(z_i)$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta} := \{h \in \mathcal{H} : \psi(x_i; \theta)^\top h(z_i) \in \text{dom}(\phi), 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . The FGEL estimate  $\widehat{\theta}$  of  $\theta_0$  is then given by

$$\widehat{\theta} = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sup_{h \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\theta}} G_{\lambda_n}(\theta, h).$$

Allows leveraging arbitrary ML models as instrument functions h

• Divergence functions beyond the Cressie-Reed family, in particular  $\neq \chi^2$  ( $\hat{=}$  GMM)

Can benefit from recent progress in saddle point optimization (e.g. [5])

# Asymptotic properties

Let  $\lambda_n = O_p(n^{-\xi})$ , then under several technical assumptions we have as  $n \to \infty$ :

Consistency:

$$\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$$
 and  $\|E[H(X, Z; \hat{\theta})]\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = O_p(n^{-1/2+\xi})$ 

Asymptotic normality:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma_{\theta}), \quad \Sigma_{\theta} = ((\nabla_{\theta} H^*) \Omega^{-1} (\nabla_{\theta^{\top}} H))^{-1},$$
  
where  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\lambda_n} := E_{\hat{P}_n}[H(X, Z, \theta_0) H(X, Z, \theta_0)^*] + \lambda_n I \otimes I \xrightarrow{p} \Omega$ 



## Choice of Divergence and Instrument Function

#### Choice of Divergence

	f(p)	$\phi(v)$	$\operatorname{dom}(\phi)$
$\chi^2$	$\frac{1}{2}(p-1)^2$	$-\frac{1}{2}(1+v)^2$	$\mathbb{R}$
Burg	$-\log(p)$	$-\log(1-v)$	$\left(-\infty,1-\frac{1}{n}\right]$
KL	$p\log(p)$	$-e^v$	$\mathbb{R}$

- Contains continuous updating version of VMM [1] as special case  $(f = \chi^2)$
- Continuum generalizations of the original EL (Burg) and exponential tilting estimators (KL)

### **Choice of Instrument Function Class**

- Kernel-FGEL:  $G_{\lambda_n}(\theta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi\left(\sum_{r=1}^m (\alpha_r^\top K_r)_i \psi_r(x_i; \theta)\right) \frac{\lambda_n}{2} \sum_{r=1}^m \alpha_r^\top K_r \alpha_r$
- Inner optimization over  $\alpha$  convex  $\rightarrow$  Solve with e.g. 2-layer LBFGS
- Provably fulfills equivalence relation (5)
- Neural-FGEL:  $G_{\lambda_n}(\theta,\omega) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi\left(\psi(x_i;\theta)^\top h_\omega(z_i)\right) \frac{\lambda_n}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|h_\omega(z_i)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2$
- Non-convex saddle point problem  $\rightarrow$  Solve with optimistic Adam
- Strong empirical performance and superior scaling due to mini-batch training

# Experiments

Regression under heteroskedastic noise:

$$y = x^{\top}\theta + \varepsilon, \quad x \sim \text{Uniform}([-1.5, 1.5]), \quad \varepsilon | x \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma = 5x^2)$$

Conditional moment restriction:  $E[Y - X^{\top}\theta|X] = 0$   $P_X$ -a.s.



### Discussion

- Many problems in ML can naturally be expressed as risk minimizations
- (Conditional) moment restrictions appear in emerging areas such as causal inference and robust ML and require dedicated solution methods
- We extended the powerful GEL framework to CMR and proved its asymptotics

#### References

- [1] A. Bennett and N. Kallus. The variational method of moments, 2020. [2] A. Bennett, N. Kallus, L. Li, and A. Mousavi. Off-policy evaluation in infinite-horizon reinforcement learning with latent con-
- founders. In International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, pages 1999–2007. PMLR, 2021. [3] M. Carrasco and J.-P. Florens. Generalization of GMM to a continuum of moment conditions. *Econometric Theory*, 16, 2000.
- [4] V. Chernozhukov et al. Double/debiased machine learning for treatment and structural parameters, 2018.
- [5] C. Daskalakis, A. Ilyas, V. Syrgkanis, and H. Zeng. Training GANs with optimism, 2018.
- [6] G. Lewis and V. Syrgkanis. Adversarial generalized method of moments. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.07164, 2018.
- [7] W. K. Newey and R. J. Smith. Higher order properties of GMM and generalized empirical likelihood estimators. *Econometrica*, 72, 2004.